# The Conformation of Non-Aromatic Ring Compounds. VII.<sup>†</sup> Crystal Structure of trans-2,5-Dichloro-1,4-dioxane at -125 °C

By C. Altona, Carolyn Knobler‡ and C. Romers

Laboratory of Organic Chemistry, University of Leiden, The Netherlands

(Received 21 December 1962 and in revised form 11 March 1963)

trans-2,5-Dichloro-1,4-dioxane crystallizes in the triclinic system: space group  $P\overline{1}$ , Z = 1,

 $a = 4.573, b = 5.491, c = 6.665 \text{ Å}; \alpha = 86.25^{\circ}, \beta = 104.10^{\circ}, \gamma = 106.23^{\circ}$ 

at room temperature. Three independent sets of data were used to refine the atomic coordinates:

(i) Reflexion intensities of the zones [100] and [001], with Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation at room temperature.

(ii) Reflexion intensities of the zones [100] and [001], with Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation at -125 °C.

(iii) Three-dimensional reflexion intensities observed with Cu  $K\alpha$  radiation at -125 °C.

The dioxane ring has the chair form with the chlorine atoms in axial positions. Some bond lengths show interesting deviations from the expected values:

C(1)-Cl =  $1.845 \pm 0.006$ , C(1)-O =  $1.388 \pm 0.007$ , C(2)-O =  $1.428 \pm 0.007$ , C(1)-C(2') =  $1.513 \pm 0.008$  Å at -125 °C.

#### 1. Introduction

The present study is the first of a series of crystal structure analyses of halogeno-1,4-dioxanes initiated in this laboratory during the past few years. The properties and conformation of this class of substituted heterocyclic molecules have also been investigated in solution by infrared and Raman spectroscopy and by measurements of electric dipole moments (Altona, Romers & Havinga, 1959; Altona, 1963). This research was undertaken in order to determine the preferred conformation or conformations and to compare the results with those from earlier studies of analogous cyclohexane derivatives, both in solution (Kwestroo, Meijer & Havinga, 1954) and in the solid state (Hassel & Vihovde, 1953).

A cis and a trans form of 2,5-dichlorodioxane are theoretically possible. Only one isomer is known (Bryan, Smedley & Summerbell, 1950). The trans configuration has been inferred from the low value (0.60 D) of the dipole moment (Altona, Romers & Havinga, 1959) and is now confirmed by X-ray analysis.

The structure was first refined in projections from molybdenum radiation data obtained at room temperature. Because the carbon-chlorine bond appeared to be unusually long, we decided to improve on the accuracy of the determination by application of Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation at low temperature. After completion of this second analysis, digital computing facilities became available and a third refinement was carried out with full three-dimensional Cu  $K\alpha$  data, also

AC 16 - 79

<sup>‡</sup> Present address: Chemistry Department, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, U.S.A. collected at -125 °C. These three determinations offer interesting material for comparative purposes.

#### 2. Experimental

trans-2,5-Dichloro-1,4-dioxane, C4H6O2Cl2, m.p. 122-124 °C, was prepared by chlorination of a mixture of 1,4-dioxane and carbon tetrachloride at 10 °C in the presence of a trace of dibenzoyl peroxide (Bryan, Smedley & Summerbell, 1950). The compound crystallizes from carbon tetrachloride in the form of white triclinic laths with principal zone [100] and faces  $\{010\}$  and  $\{001\}$ . Plates with main faces  $\{010\}$ were also found. The crystal faces are rough and the angles  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  were not measured directly with an optical goniometer. The specimens chosen for X-ray diffraction were carefully sealed in dry Lindemann glass capillaries, because the crystals decompose rapidly in the presence of traces of moisture. They were also dipped into liquid air in order to minimize primary extinction effects.

Unit cell dimensions and angles at 20 °C and at -125 °C were measured from zero-level Weissenberg photographs about [100], [010] and [001], taken with unfiltered copper radiation ( $\lambda$  Cu  $K\alpha = 1.5418$  Å) and superposed with aluminum powder diffraction lines (a=4.0489 Å at 20 °C). No discontinuous structure transition was found between 20 °C and -125 °C. It is interesting to note (Table 1) that angle  $\alpha^*$  changes from acute to obtuse on cooling and special care was exercised in indexing the films. The triclinic unit cell contains one molecule. The molecular symmetry is an inversion centre if the space group is PI, an assumption confirmed by the straightforward refinement.

All intensity records were obtained on non-inte-

<sup>†</sup> Part VI: van Dort & Havinga (1963).

Table	1.	Unit	cell	din	rensi	ons,	angle	es,
and de	nsit	ties a	t 20	°C	and	at -	-125	$^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$

	$20~^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	-125 °C
a	$4.573 \pm 0.01 \text{ Å}$	$4.521 \pm 0.007 \text{ Å}$
ь	$5.491 \pm 0.01$	$5.432 \pm 0.01$
c	$6.665 \pm 0.01$	$6{\cdot}616 \pm 0{\cdot}01$
α	$86 \cdot 25 \pm 0 \cdot 1^{\circ}$	$85 \cdot 73 \pm 0 \cdot 1^{\circ}$
β	$104 \cdot 10 \pm 0 \cdot 15$	$103 \cdot 85 \pm 0 \cdot 2$
γ	$106 \cdot 23 \pm 0 \cdot 2$	$106{\cdot}40\pm0{\cdot}25$
<b>d</b> (100)	$4.268 \pm 0.005 \text{ Å}$	$4.222 \pm 0.003 \text{ Å}$
d(010)	$5.272 \pm 0.005$	$5.211 \pm 0.005$
d (001)	$6.464 \pm 0.005$	$6{\cdot}423\pm0{\cdot}005$
α*	$89.85 \pm 0.05^{\circ}$	$90.42 \pm 0.05^{\circ}$
<b>β*</b>	$76.40 \pm 0.1$	$76.80 \pm 0.1$
γ*	$74 \cdot 20 \pm 0 \cdot 2$	$74 \cdot 13 \pm 0 \cdot 2$
U	$155.8 \pm 0.4 \text{ Å}^3$	$151.3 \pm 0.4 \text{ Å}^3$
$D_x(Z=1)$	$1.676  {\rm g. cm^{-3}}$	l·727 g.cm <sup>−3</sup>
$D_m$	1.65	_

grated equi-inclination Weissenberg photographs by the application of the multiple film technique. The films used for exposures with Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation were interleaved with thin copper foils in order to reduce the intensities on successive films. The low temperature exposures were made according to the method described by Kreuger (1955). Since the exposure times were long (Table 2), an automatic filling system was designed in order to keep the liquid nitrogen in the cooling jacket at a constant level. A full description of the technical details will be given elsewhere (Altona, 1963).

Apart from preliminary Weissenberg photographs taken with copper radiation at room temperature, three sets of data were collected:

- 1. Reflexion intensities of the zones [100], [001] and [101] with Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation at room temperature.
- 2. Reflexion intensities of the zones [100] and [001] with Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation at -125 °C.
- 3. Reflexion intensities of the layers 0-3 about [100] and 0-4 about [001] with Cu  $K\alpha$  radiation at -125 °C.

Some technical details of these sets of exposures are given in Table 2.

Within the Cu  $K\alpha$  limiting sphere about 690 reflexions are possible; 653 reflexions were recorded, 35 of which were too weak to be observed.

The visually estimated intensities were corrected in the usual way for Lorentz, polarization, and spotshape factors. The linear absorption coefficient  $\mu$  (Mo  $K\alpha$ )=9.5 cm<sup>-1</sup> and  $\mu$  (Cu  $K\alpha$ )=85 cm<sup>-1</sup>. It follows that the specimens chosen for Mo  $K\alpha$  exposures (Table 2) were sufficiently small to neglect absorption errors. An absorption correction (cylindrical approximation) was applied to the intensities obtained from the Cu  $K\alpha$  exposures.

The (0kl) and (hk0) observed structure factors of set (3) were put on an approximately absolute scale by comparison with the corresponding calculated structure factors  $F_c$  of set (2); all higher levels of the former set were then scaled by a thorough crosscorrelation procedure. About 360 structure factors  $F_o$  occurred on the *a*-axis as well as on the *c*-axis photographs. Their mean value  $F_m$  was compared with the difference  $F_m - F_o$ . The 'agreement factor'

$$R_m = \Sigma |F_m - F_o| / \Sigma F_m = 4.9\%$$

gives an indication of the accuracy of the measurements.

#### 3. Two-dimensional refinements

The simple structure (Fig. 1) became evident after solution of the Patterson projections P(uv) and P(vw). With Z=1 the asymmetric unit consists of one chlorine atom, one oxygen atom, two carbon and three hydrogen atoms. The numbering of the atoms can be seen in Fig. 3.

Refinement of the structure by means of the room temperature Mo  $K\alpha$  data (set 1) proceeded by calculation of successive cycles of structure factors, Fourier syntheses and difference maps in projections along [100] and [001]. An anisotropic temperature factor

$$\exp\left[-(B_1+B_2\cos^2\varphi)(\sin\theta/\lambda)^2\right]$$

was introduced for the chlorine atom in the F(0kl)structure factor calculations, the angle between the direction of maximum vibration and the z axis being 48 °C. The hydrogen atoms were incorporated into the molecular model by accepting C-H bond distances of 1.03 Å and tetrahedral bond angles. Final agreement indices R, taken over all observed reflexions, were:

$$R(0kl) = 5.9\%$$
 and  $R(hk0) = 8.5\%$ .

Τa	ab	le	2.	. 1	"eci	hni	cal	dat	ła	concerning	tl	re	three	sets	; oj	$p_i$	hoi	tog	rap	hs	tal	cen	T
----	----	----	----	-----	------	-----	-----	-----	----	------------	----	----	-------	------	------	-------	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	---

Set	Radiation	T	Reflexions	Crystal cross-section	Number of observed reflexions	Exposure time	$\sin  heta / \lambda \ \max$
1	$MoK\alpha$	20°	0kl hk0	$\begin{array}{c} 0{\cdot}20\times0{\cdot}35~\mathrm{mm}\\ 0{\cdot}17\times0{\cdot}23 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 100 \\ 74 \end{array}$	64 hr 180	$0.83 \\ 0.84$
2	$\operatorname{Mo} K \alpha$	$-125^{\circ}$	0kl hk0	$0.30 \times 0.30 \\ 0.25 \times 0.30$	161 96	64 92	$1.02 \\ 0.96$
3	Cu K a	-125°	0kl - 3kl hk0 - hk4	$0.15 \times 0.15 \\ 0.15 \times 0.15$	618	4-8	0.64

† See text.



 $\underbrace{0 \qquad 1 \text{\AA}}_{16}$ Fig. 1. Electron density projection of trans-2,5-dichloro-1,4-dioxane (a) along [100], calculated from the low temperature Mo K $\alpha$  data (set 2); (b) along [001]. Contours are drawn at 2, 4, 6, ... e.Å<sup>-2</sup>; those about the chlorine atom are drawn at 4, 8, 12, ... e.Å<sup>-2</sup>. Zero and negative contour

lines are broken.

The low-temperature structure (Mo  $K\alpha$  data, set 2) was refined in much the same way. Fourier maps (Fig. 1) and a number of difference syntheses were calculated at intervals of 1/120. The direction of maximum vibration of the chlorine atom in projection along [100] was the same as noted previously. All hydrogen atoms were located close to the expected positions in difference maps that included low-angle reflexions only (Jellinek, 1958). Theoretical hydrogen coordinates, however, were used in the final structure factor calculations.

The value of R(0kl) was 8.6% at this stage. Because  $F_o < F_c$  for many strong reflexions, a correction for primary extinction was applied (Vand, 1955). The final R indices were: R(0kl) = 6.3% and R(hk0) = 6.9%. The results of the two analyses will be discussed in § 5 and 6. The observed and calculated structure factors of sets (1) and (2) will be given elsewhere.

The two-dimensional calculations were done with

a desk calculator and Beevers-Lipson strips; for both sets a final cycle of structure factors and difference syntheses was carried out on the electronic X1 computer of the University of Leiden, using programs devised by Keulemans (1961). Tables of scattering factors of chlorine (Dawson, 1960), carbon and oxygen (Berghuis, Haanappel, Potters, Loopstra, MacGillavry & Veenendaal, 1955) and hydrogen (McWeeny, 1951) were used throughout this investigation.

## 4. Three-dimensional refinement

The data of set (3) were used to refine positions, scale-factor, and individual anisotropic temperature parameters by means of least-squares calculations. A block-diagonal approximation to the matrix of the normal equations was applied (Rollett, 1961). The calculations were carried out on the Mercury computer of the University of Manchester, England.

In the first cycle the final atomic positions and the isotropic temperature factors of the two-dimensional refinement with the data of set (2) were inserted. The few non-observed reflexions were given the value  $\frac{1}{2}F_{\min}$  where  $F_{\min}$  is the locally observable minimum of  $F_o$ . The  $(F_o - F_c)^2$  values were weighted with a factor:

$$w = 1/[1 + \{(|F_o| - 10)/5\}^2]$$
.

The previously assumed hydrogen parameters were included in the calculations, but were not refined.

After four cycles of calculations the shifts in the atomic positions were less than 0.001 Å. Relatively large discrepancies still remained between  $F_o$  and  $F_c$  of most strong low-order reflexions. Attempts at applying extinction corrections according to the method proposed by Vand (1955) were not successful, presumably because different extinction and residual absorption errors in the data of the two crystals used have been mixed in the three-dimensional analysis.

The observed and calculated structure factors are listed in Table 3. The final agreement index is 8.6%. Exclusion of the non-observed terms and of the nine reflexions most suffering from extinction yields an R value of 7.7%.

Since no positional standard deviations were provided by the least-squares program, several threedimensional Fourier sections through each atom were calculated at intervals of 1/360. From these maps the central curvatures  $\partial^2 \varrho / \partial x^2$  etc. were obtained. The hydrogen maxima were found to lie close to the expected positions with peak densities  $1\cdot 0-1\cdot 5$  Å<sup>-3</sup>, but no new hydrogen parameters were derived.

#### 5. Atomic parameters and accuracy

Table 4 presents the following information, obtained from the three independent sets of data: the final atomic coordinates, the root mean square (r.m.s.) of the nearly isotropic coordinate standard deviations Table 3. Observed and calculated structure factors (set 3)

Each group of reflexions is preceded by a  $\bullet$  and by the values of h and k common to that group. The remaining lines show l,  $F_o$  and  $F_c$  (× 50). Non-observed reflexions, inserted as  $\frac{1}{2}F_{\min}$ , are indicated by X

• •		7400	-5	-31X	-11 -176	3	628	583	• 3	-168	-403	3	-315	-311	-6 -5	99 4 50	104 415	1°-4 +		181
	-829	-169	3	-531 -111	-583 -129	5	-131	-1 38	-6	193	194	• 5 1	535	543	-4	549 -301	546 -154	-3	189 **454	194 415
	-490	-494	-1	131 576	155	• 7 1	-414	-470		1 39 400	103	-5	-33X -58	-53	-1 -1	108 -1097	79 -1133	-1	-468	-338
6	737 148	770 158	I I	¥21 99	59 8 a	-7	-293 8 1	-373 91	1	-854 -895	-796	-3	549 1 08	580 131	o I	-67 383	-34 367		387 274	396
•	108 I	71	3	-405	-405	=5	603 364	573 387	, or t	666	-79 643	-1	-198 -333	-178 -345	3	594 163	570 137	3	-103	348
-	387 261	388 259	• 5 ,	54 1 -4	65	-3	-85 -630	-86	3	243 616	333 585	13	-165 -180	-168	4 5	-670	-633	•-4 *	-181	-136
-5	-418 -414	-417	-7	135 -216	-815	-1	-746	-778 -237	4	-445 -364	-400	3	571 311	569 218	-7 3	306	385	-3	-607	-033
-5	-483 -871	-193	-4	-353 -643	-366 -673	2	796	8 07 668	• ^ 2	-302	-204	-4 3	330	303	-s	45X	377		370	388
	1093	1351 1351	-3	265	*33 603	- 4	337	-516	-7	607	-130 543	3	-94	-118		- 553	-531		193	275
1	-341	-317		-130	-109	6	-346	-339	-5	432	390	0	-153	-118	-1	544	468	• • .	-445	-416
3	-198	-163		-8 59	-965	• •	3	* 34	-3	-908	-836	ŀ. · ,	400	413	1	418 161	399 103	-4	-328 -172	-412
s	800	789		330 353	2 36 278	-6 -5	262	205	-1	639 614	58 o 56 7	-4	-85 -144	-91 -132	3	-396 -558	- 390	-3 -1	486 49X	514
ž	-99	-114 -388	• • •	1 - <del>3</del>	136	-4	-504 -343	-5-3	1	755	702	-3 -1	297 333	279 330	• 3	85	71	° i	445	462
	-198	-307	-7	-85 -553	-100	-1 -	-436 369	~4I0 326	3	-535	-535 -484	° 1	-319	-196	-6	-76	73	3	-256	-414 -331
-5	-396 -45X	-406 -35	=4	-166 580	-189	0 I	571 814	534	5	-58X 355	-34 328	• 3	-157	-108		-544	-107	-4 *	# 153	224
-	1043	1086	-3	67	653 46	3	-549	-345	-8	378	388	-5	-330	-373	-3	383	255		339	366
	-265	-131		-1130	-1133	5	-418	-133	-6	54X	71	-3	576	560 144	0	257	131		-391	-413
1	-157	-147		473	441	• T	4	-74	-4	-188 -611	-149	-1	45×	43	3	- 59 4 - 1 57	-581 -191		-37X 180	-46
3	904 57 I	915 561	4	391 40X	411	-5	-450 -40X	-437 -51	-1	1 1 8 2 5 5 3	1334 529	1 2	-180 -40X	-206	4	283	112 371	•_4 *	376	151
5	-535	-117 -515	6	-256	-304	-3	-166 468	-1 58 449	0 1	558 -827	506	3	310	298 155	-6 3	-148	-197	3	103	137
• * •	-358	-335	-8	-193	-213		507 99	530	3	-549	-69 I	7	-216	-246	-4	211	301	-1	-157	-300
-6	264	241	-6	445	438		-139	-135	5	306	299	-5	504	484		364	380	• • •	-110	*33 *305
=	499	545 -261	1	684	641 -364	4	333	333	•_, •	-134	-202	-3	356 -450	334 -421	° 1	-193 -473	-191 -468		-343 13X	
-1	-585	-588 -678	-i -i	-1508	-485 -1741	-5 <sup>1</sup>	-72	-86	-6 -5	-378 -450	-324	-T 0	-657 49 X	-657	3	36X 310	23 323	-4 5	-4 -108	-199
o I	351	324	° I	666 328	613 343	-4	67 38 2	95 3 <sup>8</sup> 4	-4	418 1133	374 1150	1 2	238 288	310	• 4 3	397	334	-3	-163	
3	1259 -49	1278 -50	3	1084	1186	-1	198 -319	=286	-1	-163	-97	3	-139	-1 5 a	-4 -3	256 794	311	-1	189 346	206 364
45	-445	-480	4 5	~486	-128 -565	0 I	-374	-315	ĩ	-881	-882	• 3	-325	-307	-1	-130	-142	• 5	-279	-196
•_^ •		-115	7	67	118	3	391	437	1	949	901	-6	391	366	Ĩ	265	268 346	-3	-4 5X 26 5	180
-s	1 39	155	-7	433	383	• 1	6 -347	-374	S	374	384	1	175	149	•4	-6 310	354	-1	31X	29
-3	-459 45X	-467	-5	165 -670	016		-139	-181	• 7 •	-279	-377		-49X	-39	-3 -1	283 -126	270 -154	I	-49	-59
-1	36X 728	40 69 2	23	-598	-640 -881	1	361 166	879 213	-8	-171 -335	-205	o I	58 5 360	541 393	• ° •	-180	-181	•_4 S	-* *88	340
1 3	612 588	567 - 500	-1	540 1364	675 1533	-1 3	-166	-278	-6	-163 890	-162 883	3	-621	-666	-4	418	483 73	-3	=74 -437	ā63 ~435
3	-418	-412	2	-697	-715	<b>-</b> 4 <sup>2</sup>	-319	-349	-4	1 57 193	117	4 5	-163	-171 -87	-1	-450	-484	-1 0	-335	-207
š	391	370	4	-144	-137	-3	-189	-330		-908	-539	-8	198		i	54	67		343	333
-5	-103	-137	67	391	445		325	235	1	1093	1024	-6	67	106	• 4	-151	-1 50		-45X	
-3	99 184	105	-8	<b>.</b>	107		-311	-177	3	373	353	-4	-639	-649	-3	-409 -383	-428 -380	-1 -1	-1 57	-139
-1	648 216	635 -194	-7	437	410	-6 3	-8 s	-130	5	-\$97	-535	-2	229 850	183 826	-1	256 99	268 89	o I	475 171	395 191
1 3	-179	-465	-4	-388 -675	-720	-5	-378 -382	36 o	-7	3 356	343	ů 1	180	252 -250	3	477 -40X	542	• 5	330	207
3	198	186	-3	-103 1139	-65 1338	-3	49X 414	34 415	-6 -5	468	448 -68	3	-706	-739 	• 3 4	-370	-171	-4	-310 -31X	-380
•	481	446	-1	-940	-1073	-1	432	410	-4	-49X -773	773	\$	40 X 436	45 416	-4	-473 303	196 84		335	197 259
	157	163	1	-1097	-1358		-445	-461	-1	103	65	77	-1 39	-128	-1	495	496	. ĭ.	-45	-41
• •	- 306	-188	4 5	558 450	643	<b>.</b>	356	845	1	343	315	-5	-103	-87	1	-369 -396	-356	=; `	81 171	99 210
а 3	370 171	333 189	• •	130 1	111	-7	-283 -337	-188 -314	3	-639 -342	-648 -305	-3	845 858	856	3	-113 -33X	-108 -8		148 171	171 191
•_, ·	-6 347	<b>a</b> 61	-8	-409	-396	-5	-405 639	-401 651	5	-238 400	-111 410	- <u>-</u> I	-693	-734	-4 *	343	\$97	ů	-433	-4±8 -197
_3	36X	14 -414	-6 -5	-598	-111	-3	338 400	298 434	-s <sup>1</sup>	-409	-358	1 2	-558 49×	-563	-3	157 764	134 745	1		
•	-301 63X	-313	-4	737 697	761		-571	-208	-3	-305	-100	4	477 374 38 -	367	•	-324 -382	-345			
	351	336		719	-748		508	466	-1	666	640	6	-153	-193		54	66			
•_6 <sup>1</sup>	-5	41	I	-1 503 737	-1775	4	360	379 97	1	-400	-371 -407	-8	-117	-140	4	445	434			

(s.d.'s), and orthogonal coordinates, calculated by means of the transformations given by Cruickshank (1961). The s.d.'s were estimated by use of the expressions appropriate to the triclinic system (Cruickshank, 1949). r.m.s. difference equals 0.008 Å for the lighter atoms. The individual differences are not significant, except for the chlorine atom in the x direction, where the difference is in the 1-5% probability range (Cruickshank & Robertson, 1953).

The coordinates of sets (2) and (3) can be compared directly. The agreement is satisfactory and their The final temperature factors of the three sets are shown in Table 5. For comparative purposes the

#### Table 4. Final atomic coordinates in fractions of the cell edges

The root mean square standard deviations (Å), and orthogonal atomic coordinates (Å) from the three sets of data; the hydrogen positions are theoretical (see text)

$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{tom}$	Set	y/a	x/b	z/c	σ	X	$\boldsymbol{Y}$	Z
Cl	1	0.2290	0.2495	0.1545	0·0030 Å	0·7638 Å	1·1447 Å	0·9987 Å
	2	0.22950	0.24900	0.15400	0.0018	0.7634	1.1355	0.9892
	3	0.23085	0.24881	0.15462	0.0013	0.7684	1.1330	0.9932
0	1	0.6630	0.3155	0.5155	0.0070	$2 \cdot 105$	1.110	3.332
	2	0.6640	0.3135	0.5140	0.0045	$2 \cdot 106$	1.109	3.305
	3	0.6648	0.3133	0.5129	0.0037	$2 \cdot 103$	1.109	3.295
C(1)	1	0.5940	0.4440	0.3260	0.0110	2.098	1.821	$2 \cdot 107$
. ,	<b>2</b>	0.5940	0.4450	0.3250	0.0064	2.087	1.819	2.088
	3	0.5936	0.4428	0.3267	0.0056	2.082	1.808	2.098
C(2)	1	0.4540	0.3000	0.6400	0.0100	0.992	1.346	4.137
- ( )	2	0.4490	0.2970	0.6435	0.0056	0.978	1.357	4.133
	3	0.4467	0.2969	0.6411	0.0055	0.972	1.358	<b>4</b> ·118
$\mathbf{H}(1)$	calc.	0.770	0.470	0.254	<u> </u>	2.96	1.70	1.63
H(2)	calc.	0.238	0.178	0.578		0.16	0.95	3.71
H(3)	calc.	0.548	0.227	0.786		1.19	0.92	5.05

Table 5. Temperatur	re factors	(Å <sup>2</sup> )	from	the	three	sets	of	data
Lable 5. Lemperara	e jaciors	$(\mathbf{A})$	jiom	ine	111166	0010	vj	uuuu

	Set (1)		Set (2)		Set (3)						
Atom Cl O C(1) C(2) H(1) (2) (3)	$\overbrace{\substack{2\cdot11*\\2\cdot93\\2\cdot68\\2\cdot75\\3\cdot00}}^{B[100]}$	B[001]  3.85  3.55  3.81  3.52  3.80	$\begin{array}{c} \hline B[100] \\ 1 \cdot 06^* \\ 1 \cdot 13 \\ 1 \cdot 15 \\ 1 \cdot 13 \\ 1 \cdot 13 \\ 1 \cdot 10 \end{array}$	$B[001] \\ 1.33 \\ 1.06 \\ 1.08 \\ 1.26 \\ 1.10$	$\overbrace{\substack{B_{11} \\ 1 \cdot 99 \\ 1 \cdot 73 \\ 1 \cdot 76 \\ 2 \cdot 16}}^{B_{11}}$	$egin{array}{c} B_{22} \ 2{\cdot}11 \ 2{\cdot}27 \ 2{\cdot}49 \ 2{\cdot}15 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} B_{33} \\ 1.75 \\ 2.26 \\ 1.48 \\ 2.10 \\ isotroni$	$B_{12} \\ 0.57 \\ 0.92 \\ 0.68 \\ 0.65 $	$\begin{array}{c} B_{13} \\ 0.15 \\ 0.65 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.77 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} B_{23} \\ -\ 0.50 \\ -\ 0.13 \\ -\ 0.29 \\ -\ 0.20 \end{array}$	

\* See § 3 for the thermal-anisotropy correction.

anisotropic temperature factors  $b_{ij}$ , defined by  $\exp -[b_{11}h^2 + 2b_{12}hk + b_{22}k^2 + 2b_{13}hl + 2b_{23}kl + b_{33}l^2]$ were converted into Å<sup>2</sup> units by means of the relation:

$$B_{ij} = 4b_{ij}/(a_i^*a_j^*)$$
.

Although the photographs of sets (2) and (3) have been taken at approximately the same temperature, the temperature factors obtained from the copper radiation data are larger. Probably a number of strong reflexions near the upper edge of the Cu  $K\alpha$ films have been underestimated, whereas on the Mo  $K\alpha$  films the weak high-order spots may have been assigned too large a value. Whatever the cause, temperature factors obtained from visually estimated intensities clearly have only qualitative significance. In order to check the directions of the thermal motion, the principal axis parameters of the vibration ellipsoid of the chlorine atom were calculated from the  $b_{ij}$  values of set (3) (Busing & Levy, 1958). The directions and magnitudes are:

$U_{s}$	= -0.6208a + 1.2814b + c,	$B_s = 0.55 \text{ Å}^2$
$U_m$	= 4.9383a + 0.4421b + c,	$B_m = 1.88 \text{ Å}^2$
$U_l$	= -1.3270a - 1.6741b + c,	$B_l = 2.98 \text{ Å}^2$

where  $\mathbf{a}$ ,  $\mathbf{b}$  and  $\mathbf{c}$  are basic unit cell vectors defined in Table 1.

The angle between the maximum displacement vector and the carbon-chlorine bond is  $108.9^{\circ}$ . In the projection along [100] the angle between the direction of maximum vibration and c is  $46.5^{\circ}$ ; this figure agrees with that found (48°) in both two-dimensional analyses.

The observed peak electron densities  $\rho_0$  and the central curvatures A are given in Table 6. These

Table 6. Observed electron densities and central curvatures from the three sets of measurements

The sets are indicated by subscripts 1, 2, and 3

	e.4	Å-2	e.Å-3	e.A	-4	e.Å <sup>-5</sup>			
Atom		 Q2	$\varrho_3$	$\overline{-A_1}$	$-A_2$	$\overbrace{-A_{3hh}}$	$-A_{3kk}$	$-A_{3ll}$	
Cl	28.0 + 0.2	46.0 + 0.3	44.0 + 0.1	520	930	<b>432</b>	460	<b>446</b>	
õ	$12 \cdot 2 + 0 \cdot 2$	$19.9 \pm 0.3$	$16 \cdot 4 + 0 \cdot 1$	180	367	161	154	152	
$\tilde{\mathbf{C}}(1)$	$8.8 \pm 0.2$	$14.6 \pm 0.3$	$11 \cdot 2 + 0 \cdot 1$	116	252	109	99	104	
$\mathbf{C}(2)$	10.2 + 0.2	$15 \cdot 4 + 0 \cdot 3$	11.4 + 0.1	138	307	113	103	102	
H	0.6 + 0.2	$1 \cdot 1 \stackrel{-}{\pm} 0 \cdot 3$	$1.4 \pm 0.1$						

values generally agree with those expected on theoretical grounds (Atoji, 1957). It is well known that, because of series termination effects, lowering of the temperature has a smaller influence on the central curvatures obtained from Cu  $K\alpha$  data than on the curvatures from Mo  $K\alpha$  data. Our work illustrates that, in some favourable cases at least, the standard errors of a two-dimensional analysis with molybdenum radiation at low temperature are comparable to those of a three-dimensional refinement with Cu  $K\alpha$  data at the same temperature.

#### 6. Results and discussion

### (a) Geometry of the molecule

1222

The ring in *trans*-2,5-dichloro-1,4-dioxane has the normal chair form and the chlorine atoms occupy axial positions (aa). From infrared and Raman spectroscopic data the conclusion was drawn that the same conformation predominates in solution, regardless of the solvent (Altona, Romers & Havinga, 1959; Altona, 1963). In fact, no indications were found for the existence of other conformations, such as the diequatorial one (ee). This behaviour is in contrast to that of *trans*-1.4-dichlorocyclohexane, a compound which occurs in solution as an equilibrium mixture containing both the (ee) and the (aa) chair forms (Kozima, Sakashita & Maeda, 1954), while in the solid state only the (ee) conformation has been found (Hassel & Vihovde, 1953). As a rule, the halogen atoms in dihalogeno-dioxanes occupy axial positions; the (aa) forms were also found in trans-2,3-dichlorodioxane and in trans-2,3-dibromodioxane, for which X-ray structure determinations have recently been accomplished (Altona & Romers, 1963; Altona, Knobler & Romers, 1963).

Table 7. Bond distances and bond angles in trans-2,5dichlorodioxane as determined from three independent sets of measurements

	Distances (Å)									
Atoms	Set (1)	Set (2)	Set (3)							
C(1)-Cl C(1)-O C(2)-O C(1)-C(2')	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \cdot 862 \pm 0 \cdot 011 \\ 1 \cdot 417 \pm 0 \cdot 013 \\ 1 \cdot 393 \pm 0 \cdot 013 \\ 1 \cdot 521 \pm 0 \cdot 015 \end{array}$	$1.852 \pm 0.007 \\ 1.407 \pm 0.008 \\ 1.423 \pm 0.008 \\ 1.504 \pm 0.009$	$\begin{array}{c} 1\cdot 845 \pm 0\cdot 006 \\ 1\cdot 388 \pm 0\cdot 007 \\ 1\cdot 428 \pm 0\cdot 007 \\ 1\cdot 513 \pm 0\cdot 008 \end{array}$							
C(2')-C(1)-Cl O-C(1)-Cl C(1)-O-C(2) O-C(1)-C(2') O-C(2)-C(1')	$\begin{array}{c} 108 \cdot 4 \pm 0 \cdot 8 \\ 109 \cdot 5 \pm 0 \cdot 8 \\ 114 \cdot 2 \pm 0 \cdot 8 \\ 111 \cdot 4 \pm 0 \cdot 9 \\ 112 \cdot 8 \pm 0 \cdot 9 \end{array}$	Angles (°) $109 \cdot 2 \pm 0 \cdot 5$ $109 \cdot 6 \pm 0 \cdot 5$ $113 \cdot 9 \pm 0 \cdot 6$ $112 \cdot 4 \pm 0 \cdot 6$ $111 \cdot 9 \pm 0 \cdot 6$	$\begin{array}{c} 109 \cdot 6 \pm 0 \cdot 4 \\ 110 \cdot 2 \pm 0 \cdot 4 \\ 113 \cdot 1 \pm 0 \cdot 5 \\ 112 \cdot 4 \pm 0 \cdot 5 \\ 111 \cdot 7 \pm 0 \cdot 5 \end{array}$							

The bond distances and angles, calculated from the parameters in Table 4, are given in Table 7, together with the estimated standard deviations (Cruickshank & Robertson, 1953; Darlow, 1960) in which allowance has been made for the errors in the unit-cell dimensions. No corrections for the effect of molecular librations were applied, mainly because of the uncertainty in the magnitudes of the vibration parameters.



Fig. 2. Schematic drawing of the molecule of *trans*-2,5dichloro-1,4-dioxane showing some intramolecular distances and angles.

Agreement among the three independent analyses is again gratifying; none of the differences in bond distances between each set is found to be significant. In the following discussion the distances and angles from set (3), also shown in Fig. 2, will be used, as these are considered to be the most reliable values.

The valency angles are normal: those in the ring are  $2-3^{\circ}$  larger than the tetrahedral values, in accordance with many data on aliphatic ring and open chain compounds; those about the C-Cl bond do not differ significantly from 109.5°. Interesting bond distances are found in this molecule. The C-O bonds are not equivalent: C(1)-O (1.388 Å) is 0.040 Å shorter than C(2)-O (1.428 Å). The latter bond distance does not deviate significantly from the C-O distance found in dimethyl ether (1.416 Å, Kimura & Kubo, 1959; 1.417 Å, Kasai & Myers, 1959), but C(1)–O is appreciably shorter. The C–C bond distance is comparable to that reported for ethyl chloride (1.520 + 0.003 Å; Schwendeman & Jacobs, 1962);both are perhaps shorter than the C-C distance in normal paraffins (1.533 Å; Bartell, 1959).

The C-Cl bond length is surprisingly large  $(1.845 \pm 0.006 \text{ Å})$ . An average C-Cl bond distance of 1.767 Å is given by Sutton (1958). However, this figure includes distances in many compounds that contain two or three chlorine atoms attached to the same carbon atom. Few accurate C-Cl bond distances have been reported for saturated aliphatic compounds of the types -CHCl- and -CH<sub>2</sub>Cl. The available results



Fig. 3. (a) Orthographic projection along [100] of a layer of unit cells. Some intermolecular distances are shown. Distance between brackets refers to molecule at x+1, y+1, z+1. (b) The same along [001].

seem to indicate that the 'normal' aliphatic C-Cl bond has a length of about 1.78-1.79 Å. Examples are: methyl chloride (1.784 Å; Bartell & Brockway, 1955; 1.7815 Å, Miller, Aamodt, Dousmanis, Townes & Kraitchman, 1952) and ethyl chloride (1.788 Å; Schwendeman & Jacobs, 1962). Some examples of longer C-Cl bonds are also known, e.g. in 1-chloro-2-butyne (1.798 Å; Laurie & Lide, 1959) in allyl chloride (1.82 Å; Bowen, Gilchrist & Sutton, 1955), and in acetyl chloride (1.82 Å; Allen & Sutton, 1951). A C-Cl bond distance of 1.87 Å has been reported for chloromethyl methyl ether (Akishin, Vilkov & Sokolova, 1960), but a recent redetermination (Planje, Toneman & Dallinga, 1963) gave the value of 1.81 Å. We also found long C-Cl bonds in trans-2,3-dichlorodioxane (mean value of two chemically equivalent bonds 1.835 Å) and in cis-2,3-dichlorodioxane where the axial C-Cl bond has a length of 1.819 + 0.008 Å.

Allen & Sutton (1951) observe in their discussion of the structure of the acetyl halides that all long bonds involving halogen atoms are unusually reactive in hydrolysis and dissociate easily. The halogen atoms in monochlorodioxane and in dichloro- and dibromodioxane, like those in open chain  $\alpha$ -halo-ethers, are indeed highly reactive in hydrolysis reactions. Furthermore, the above authors conclude from dipole moment data that the increase in bond length is not correlated with a change in ionic character in the bonds. This conclusion is supported by the value of the dipole moment of monochlorodioxane, which indicates that a description in terms of contributions of mesomeric structures such as

## 

does not seem adequate to account quantitatively for the considerable changes in the bond distances.

A satisfactory explanation for the phenomena cannot be given in the present state of our knowledge, but some points are worth noting. First, there are indications that the presence of lone electron pairs on an atom C in a compound A-B-C lengthens the bond A-B, particularly if the ion A- is a weak base (cf. Bent, 1962). Secondly, the equatorial C-Cl bond in cis-2,3-dichlorodioxane is 'normal' in length  $(1.782 \pm 0.008$  Å). Therefore, the spatial arrangement of atoms and lone pairs may well be important. It is not known with certainty which orbitals are used by the lone pair electrons of an ether oxygen atom, but according to the available evidence (Dickens & Linnett, 1957; Gillespie & Nyholm, 1957) two lone pairs are probably equivalent. Each oxygen atom of the dioxane ring then carries one equatorial and one axial lone pair; the axial lone pair and the oxygen, carbon and the axial, but not the equatorial chlorine atoms will have a planar configuration. It is known that planar configurations of atoms play an important role in the stereochemistry of elimination reactions. It now appears that coplanarity involving a lone pair at the one end of the system and a chlorine

atom at the other end may produce changes in the bond distances between the atoms in the plane. A more extensive discussion of these problems will be given elsewhere (Altona, 1963).

### (b) Molecular environment

All intermolecular distances up to 4.4 Å have been calculated. Some of these are shown in Fig. 3. A fairly short Cl · · · Cl distance of 3.38 Å is found between two chlorine atoms in approximately 'head-on' positions: Cl(X, Y, Z) and Cl'(X-1, Y-1, Z-1). The angle C(1)-Cl···Cl' is 157·1°. Examples of comparable Cl · · · Cl separations are given by Fischmann, MacGillavry & Romers (1961) and by Hermodsson (1960). The chlorine atoms are coordinated by six hydrogen atoms, two belonging to the 'original' molecule (H2 and H3, Fig. 3) and four others at distances varying between 2.89 Å and 3.14 Å. The shortest distances among the remaining atoms are:  $H \cdots H = 2.51 \text{ Å}; O \cdots O = 3.18 \text{ Å}; H \cdots O = 2.64 \text{ Å};$  $C \cdots O = 3.18$  Å;  $Cl \cdots C = 3.62$  Å. The packing is seen to be relatively dense.

The intra- and intermolecular atomic distances and angles were calculated on the X1 computer by means of an exhaustive program, written in ALGOL 60 by Mr H. L. Jonkers with the collaboration of Dr A. Ollongren and one of us (C. A.) (Program A 200, Centraal Reken-Instituut, The University, Leiden).

We are indebted to Prof. E. Havinga for suggesting this field of research and for his valuable interest. We wish to thank Miss L. Alzerda and Mr E. van Heijkoop for technical assistance during the low temperature work, and Mrs Barbara Duncanson and Mr O. S. Mills of the University of Manchester for their generous cooperation in the course of the leastsquares computations.

#### References

- AKISHIN, P. A., VILKOV, L. V. & SOKOLOVA, N. P. (1960). Izvest. Sibir. Otdel. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 5, 59.
- ALLEN, P. W. & SUTTON, L. E. (1951). Trans. Faraday Soc. 47, 236.
- ALTONA, C. (1963). Thesis, University of Leiden. To be published.
- ALTONA, C., KNOBLER, C. & ROMERS, C. (1963). Rec. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas. In the press,
- ALTONA, C. & ROMERS, C. (1963). Rec. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas. In the press.
- ALTONA, C., ROMERS, C. & HAVINGA, E. (1959). Tetrahedron Letters, 1, No. 10, 16.
- ATOJI, M. (1957). Acta Cryst. 10, 291.
- BARTELL, L. S. (1959). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 81, 3497.
- BARTELL, L. S. & BROCKWAY, L. O. (1955). J. Chem. Phys. 23, 1860.
- BENT, H. A. (1962). J. Chem. Phys. 36, 1090.
- BERGHUIS, J., HAANAPPEL, IJ. M., POTTERS, M., LOOP-STRA, B. O., MACGILLAVRY, C. H. & VEENENDAAL, A. L. (1955). Acta Cryst. 8, 478.

- BOWEN, H. J. M., GILCHRIST, A. & SUTTON, L. E. (1955). Trans. Faraday Soc. 51, 1341.
- BRYAN, L. A., SMEDLEY, W. M. & SUMMERBELL, R. K. (1950). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 72, 2206.
- BUSING, W. R. & LEVY, H. A. (1958). Acta Cryst. 11, 450.
- CRUICKSHANK, D. W. J. (1949). Acta Cryst. 2, 65.
- CRUICKSHANK, D. W. J. (1961). Computing Methods and the Phase Problem in X-ray Crystal Analysis, p. 71. London: Pergamon Press.
- CRUICKSHANK, D. W. J. & ROBERTSON, A. P. (1953). Acta Cryst. 6, 698.
- DARLOW, S. F. (1960). Acta Cryst. 13, 683.
- DAWSON, B. (1960). Acta Cryst. 13, 403.
- DICKENS, P. G. & LINNETT, J. W. (1957). Quart. Rev. Chem. Soc., Lond. 11, 291.
- DORT, H. M. VAN, & HAVINGA, E. (1963). Proc. Kon. Ned. Acad. Wetenschap. Amsterdam, B 66, 45.
- FISCHMANN, E., MACGILLAVRY, C. H. & ROMERS, C. (1961). Acta Cryst. 14, 759.
- GILLESPIE, R. J. & NYHOLM, R. S. (1957). Quart. Rev. Chem. Soc., Lond. 11, 339.
- HASSEL, O. & VIHOVDE, E. H. (1953). Acta Chem. Scand. 7, 1164.
- HERMODSSON, Y. (1960). Acta Cryst. 13, 656.
- JELLINEK, F. (1958). Acta Cryst. 11, 677.

- KASAI, P. H. & MYERS, R. J. (1959). J. Chem. Phys. 30, 1096.
- KEULEMANS, E. M. (1961). Programs ox8 and ox9, Centraal Reken-Instituut, Leiden.
- KIMURA, K. & KUBO, M. (1959). J. Chem. Phys. 30, 151.
- KOZIMA, K., SAKASHITA, K. & MAEDA, S. (1954). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 76, 1965.
- KREUGER, A. (1955). Acta Cryst. 8, 348.
- KWESTROO, W., MEIJER, F. A. & HAVINGA, E. (1954). Rec. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas, 73, 717.
- LAURIE, V. W. & LIDE, D. R., JR. (1959). J. Chem. Phys. 31, 939.
- MCWEENY, R. (1951). Acta Cryst. 4, 513.
- MILLER, S. L., AAMODT, L. C., DOUSMANIS, G., TOWNES, C. H. & KRAITCHMAN, J. (1952). J. Chem. Phys. 20, 1112.
- PLANJE, M. C., TONEMAN, L. H. & DALLINGA, G. (1963). Rec. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas. To be published.
- ROLLETT, J. S. (1961). Computing Methods and the Phase Problem in X-ray Crystal Analysis, p. 115. London: Pergamon Press.
- SCHWENDEMAN, R. H. & JACOBS, G. D. (1962). J. Chem. Phys. 36, 1245.
- SUTTON, L. E. (1958). Tables of Interatomic Distances and Configuration in Molecules and Ions. Special Publication No. 11. London: The Chemical Society.
- VAND, V. (1955). J. Appl. Phys. 36, 1191.

Acta Cryst. (1963). 16, 1225

## The Conformation of Non-Aromatic Ring Compounds. VIII.\* The Crystal Structure of *cis*-2,3-Dichloro-1,4-dioxane at -140 °C

BY C. ALTONA AND C. ROMERS

Laboratory of Organic Chemistry, University of Leiden, The Netherlands

(Received 28 January 1963)

cis-2,3-Dichloro-1,4-dioxane crystallizes in the orthorhombic system, space group  $P2_12_12_1$ , Z = 4, and

a = 4.463, b = 10.67, c = 13.13 Å at -140 °C.

The structure was refined in three projections by means of data obtained at about -140 °C with molybdenum radiation.

The dioxane ring has the chair form. The two carbon-chlorine bonds are unequal in length: C(1)-Cl(1) (axial) =  $1.819 \pm 0.009$  Å, C(2)-Cl(2) (equatorial) =  $1.781 \pm 0.007$  Å.

#### Introduction

It seemed worthwhile to determine the structure of cis-2,3-dichloro-1,4-dioxane by X-ray methods, since this compound is the only known dihalogeno-1,4-dioxane in which an equatorial carbon-halogen bond was expected to be present (Altona, Romers & Havinga, 1959).

## Experimental

cis-2,3-Dichloro-1,4-dioxane was prepared by chlorination of a refluxing mixture of 1,4-dioxane and carbon tetrachloride (Summerbell & Lunk, 1957). The compound crystallizes from carbon tetrachloride as colourless needles, elongated along the *a* axis. The crystals are soft and tend to disintegrate when even slight pressure is applied in directions perpendicular to the needle axis. After several trials some good crystals were obtained that could be cut along [010] and [001]. Their cross sections were roughly hexagonal with faces {001} and {011} about equally developed. A central capillary hole with a radius of approximately 0.02 mm ran along the full length of all these needles.

The dimensions of the unit cell were determined from zero-layer Weissenberg photographs taken with unfiltered Cu  $K\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 1.5418$  Å) about [100],

<sup>\*</sup> Part VII: Altona, Knobler & Romers (1963); for earlier parts see van Dort & Havinga (1963).